

**MINUTES OF THE  
AUBURN CITY PLANNING COMMISSION  
AUGUST 15, 2006**

The regular session of the Auburn City Planning Commission was called to order on August 15, 2006 at 6:33 p.m. by Chairman Thompson in the Council Chambers, 1225 Lincoln Way, Auburn, California.

**COMMISSIONERS PRESENT:** Kosla, Merz, Smith, Worthington, Chrm.  
Thompson

**COMMISSIONERS ABSENT:** None

**STAFF PRESENT:** Reg Murray, Senior Planner; Steve Geiger,  
Associate Planner; Sue Fraizer, Administrative  
Assistant

**ITEM I: CALL TO ORDER**

**ITEM II: APPROVAL OF MINUTES**

The minutes of the July 18, 2006 meeting were approved as submitted. The minutes of the August 1, 2006 meeting were approved as submitted, with Commissioner Kosla abstaining.

**ITEM III: PUBLIC COMMENT**

None.

**ITEM IV: COMMISSION BUSINESS**

- A. Hwy 49 Corridor Management Plan – Review and provide a recommendation to hire a consultant to develop a Hwy 49 Corridor Management Plan.

Comm. Worthington stated that her presentation was an expression of her own opinions, she was not representing anyone. She talked about some major alignment changes for Hwy 49. There are some decisions which will be within local control. She talked about the objectives of the Corridor Management Plan and the importance of creating one. If the Planning Commission gives their approval, this presentation

can be given to the City Council to inform them of the importance of a Corridor Management Plan.

There was discussion between the Commissioners about the best way to move forward on this issue.

It was determined that Chrm. Thompson and Commissioner Worthington will approach Councilman Snyder who is the City's representative for the Placer County Transportation Planning Agency to raise his awareness about the Corridor Management Plan (CMP). It could then be decided if the Planning Commission will have a round table discussion with City Council to further discuss the CMP.

**ITEM V: COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT FOLLOW-UP REPORTS**

- A. Staff provided the Commission with a memo from the City Attorney discussing the potential ramifications of Proposition 90 on this November's ballot. This will be discussed at the next Planning Commission meeting.
- B. There will be a meeting on September 5, 2006.
- C. There was discussion about the Town Hall meeting for the Redevelopment Plan.

**ITEM VI: PLANNING COMMISSION REPORTS**

Comm. Merz mentioned that he had heard the outcome of the Murphy Lot Split appeal, and that the vote was three to one to deny the appeal and uphold the Planning Commission's decision. He stated that he was going to contact the City Attorney to discuss tie votes on appeals and what the results mean. He also asked that each Commissioner receive an e-mail after an appeal has been heard by City Council so that they would be aware of the outcome.

**ITEM VII: FUTURE PLANNING COMMISSION AGENDA ITEMS**

None.

**ITEM VIII: ADJOURNMENT**

The meeting was adjourned at 8:07 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Susan Fraizer, Administrative Assistant



